

AM-92-504

Observations on the unit-cell dimensions, H<sub>2</sub>O contents, and D values of  
natural and synthetic alunite

Roger E. Stoffregen, Charles N. Alpers

For deposit: Table 2

American Mineralogist, 77, 9-10, 1092-1098. <sup>(2)</sup>

**Table 2.** Unit cell data for natural alunites

Sample	aa*	$X_{Na}$ xrd**	a(Å)	c(Å)	V (Å <sup>3</sup> )	type#
1. Summitville, Colorado	0.40	0.23	6.983(2)	17.188(5)	725.8(4)	diss.
		0.68	6.987(5)	16.90(2)	714(1)	
2. Marysvale, Utah	0.03	0.00	6.983(1)	17.332(2)	731.9(2)	c.v.
3. La Escondida, Chile	0.33	0.25	6.980(1)	17.172(4)	724.5(2)	c.v.
4. Mantos Blancos, Chile	0.89	0.94	6.990(1)	16.737(3)	708.2(2)	p.v.
5. Round Mountain, Nevada	0.01	0.02	6.990(1)	17.319(3)	732.8(2)	p.v.
6. Death Valley, California	0.87	0.99	6.985(1)	16.702(3)	705.7(2)	f.g.
7. Noarlunga, Australia	0.08	0.06	6.990(1)	17.291(3)	731.7(2)	f.g.
8. Sadler, Texas	0.82	0.93	6.991(1)	16.740(4)	708.5(2)	f.g.
9. Antelope Valley, Calif.	0.41	0.36	6.980(1)	17.103(4)	721.6(2)	diss.
10. Colquijirca, Peru	0.14	0.11	6.977(1)	17.259(4)	727.6(2)	diss.
11. Goldfield, Nevada	0.39	0.41	6.979(3)	17.07(1)	720.0(6)	diss.
12. Salta, Argentina	0.28	0.06	6.978(1)	17.291(4)	729.1(2)	diss.
13. La Coipa, Chile	0.25	0.17	6.982(1)	17.222(4)	727.1(2)	diss.
14. El Guanaco, Chile	0.27	0.21	6.981(1)	17.196(3)	725.8(2)	c.v.
15. Komatsuga, Japan	0.63	0.62	6.975(2)	16.937(6)	713.6(4)	c.v.
16. La Tolfa, Italy	0.03	0.03	6.984(1)	17.314(4)	731.4(2)	c.v.
17. Paradise Peak, Nevada	0.12	0.09	6.977(1)	17.273(2)	728.2(2)	c.v.
18. Pofadder, South Africa	0.95	1.00	6.978(1)	16.697(2)	704.1(2)	p.v.
19. Waiotapu, New Zealand	0.08	0.05	6.980(2)	17.302(7)	730.0(4)	p.v.
20. Chuqicamata, Chile	0.10	0.00	7.006(2)	17.333(6)	736.8(4)	f.g.
21. El Hueso, Chile	0.08	0.07	6.992(2)	17.289(8)	732.0(4)	f.g.
22. Rabbit Creek, Nevada	0.25	0.29	6.993(1)	17.145(6)	726.1(3)	f.g.

23. Sierra Gorda, Chile	0.24	0.10	6.995(1)	17.270(5)	731.8(3)	f.g.
24. Tyrone, Arizona	0.21	0.02	6.989(1)	17.317(3)	732.5(2)	f.g.
25. H74-N52	0.04	0.00	6.986(1)	17.337(3)	732.8(2)	n.c.
26. M30	0.04	0.01	6.984(1)	17.326(2)	731.9(2)	n.c.
27. M47B	0.07	0.00	6.978(2)	17.362(6)	732.1(4)	n.c.
28. M53	0.10	0.00	6.980(2)	17.352(6)	732.1(4)	n.c.
29. H74-N63A	0.13	0.01	6.982(1)	17.327(2)	731.5(2)	n.c.
30. M51	0.15	0.07	6.981(2)	17.286(4)	729.6(3)	n.c.
31. H74-N50A	0.26	0.30	6.994(3)	17.14(1)	726.1(6)	n.c.
32. M51A	0.36	0.27	6.978(5)	17.16(1)	723.6(8)	n.c.
33. H75-SL102A	0.42	0.32	6.982(1)	17.125(2)	723.0(2)	n.c.
34. H75-MX14	0.46	0.10	6.971(4)	17.27(1)	726.8(7)	n.c.
		1.00	6.971(3)	16.695(9)	702.6(6)	
35. H75-MX13	0.46	0.08	6.973(4)	17.277(9)	727.5(7)	n.c.
		1.00	6.976(3)	16.697(6)	703.7(5)	
36. H75-SL104A	0.67	0.00	6.979(5)	17.33(1)	731.0(9)	n.c.
		0.97	6.982(2)	16.713(4)	705.6(3)	
37. H74-N64A	0.73	0.95	6.979(1)	16.726(2)	705.5(2)	n.c.
38. H75-SL103A	0.80	0.97	6.982(1)	16.715(2)	705.7(2)	n.c.

---

*Note:* Additional information on sample locations is available from the authors.

\* Bulk  $X_{Na}$  from chemical analysis: data are from this paper except for #18 from Schoch et al. (1989) and #25-38 from Cunningham and Hall (1976).

\*\*  $X_{Na}$  from  $c$  based on Equation (1).

# Diss=disseminated; c.v.=coarse vein; p.v.=porcelaneous vein; f.g.=fine grained; n.c. = not classified.

---